From the Field



Tooth extraction from live-captured mule deer in the absence of chemical immobilization

Pamela K. Swift, Vernon C. Bleich, Thomas R. Stephenson, Alfred E. Adams, Ben J. Gonzales, Becky M. Pierce, and Jason P. Marshal

Age-specific rates of natality and mortality are important demographic parameters (Caughley 1977). Accurate age data are essential for determining and modeling age of first reproduction, reproductive declines due to senescence, and population age structure and its effect on rate of increase (Nelson and Peek 1982, Van Ballenberghe 1983). Basic techniques to determine the ages of large, grazing mammals have included those based on tooth eruption (Deming 1952), tooth wear (Severinghaus 1949), or analysis of tooth cementum annuli (Laws 1952). Recently, Nelson (2001) concluded there are many advantages to the latter technique. A disadvantage of using cementum annuli to determine absolute age, however, is that an appropriate tooth must be safely removed from the study animal.

Extraction of teeth for age determination has been an ongoing practice among biologists working with caribou (*Rangifer tarandus*) (Bergerud and Russell 1966) and moose (*Alces alces*) (Ballard et al. 1991, Gasaway et al. 1992, Keech et al. 2000). Nonetheless, few references that provide technical details of the extraction procedure are available (Nelson 2001). Recently, Nelson (2001) described a method for extracting incisiform teeth from whitetailed deer (*Odocoileus virginianus*) that had been captured alive and then immobilized with a combination of xylazine hydrochloride and ketamine hydrochloride. We developed a similar technique and used it to extract canine teeth from mule deer (*O. hemionus*) that were not chemically immobilized before the procedure.

During March and April 2001, we captured 93 female mule deer in the eastern Sierra Nevada and 4 in the Sonoran Desert of California using a handheld net gun fired from a helicopter (Krausman et al. 1985). In the Sierra Nevada, animals were manually restrained and transported to a central processing area; in the Sonoran Desert, individuals were manually restrained and processed in the field. Each animal was examined, blood samples were collected, and, in the eastern Sierra Nevada, we used ultrasonography to assess body condition (Stephenson et al. 2002) and fetal rates. Each animal was fitted with a telemetry collar.

About 5 minutes prior to tooth extraction, we injected 0.5 cc of 2% lidocaine hydrochloride (Phoenix Pharmaceuticals, Inc., St. Joseph, Mo., USA) in the gingiva surrounding a canine tooth to alleviate pain. Similar to Nelson (2001), we used a winged dental elevator to loosen tissue surrounding the root of the tooth, and dental forceps to remove the tooth from its socket. To hasten clotting, we



Careful use of a tooth elevator likely minimized the possibility of breakage and contributed to the successful removal of incisiform teeth.

placed a cotton swab in the socket for approximately 5 minutes. Deer did not exhibit overt evidence of pain and remained calm during the procedure. We administered Penicillin G Benzathine/ Procaine (DuraPen;Vedco, Inc., St. Joseph, Mo., USA) prophylactically. No teeth were broken during the procedure, no deer exhibited pronounced bleeding, and all were released ≤ 10 min after the procedure. We believe thorough use of the elevator prior to extraction prevented breakage.

We have observed 85 of the 97 deer in the field and noted no debilities among them. Further, mandibles from 15 of 17 deer killed by predators or in accidents showed no evidence of infection. Moreover, during March 2002 we recaptured and examined 75 of the 80 surviving animals; all had healed appropriately. Chemical immobilization can place ungulates at greater risk than some other methods of capture (Kock et al. 1987). Thus, investigators planning to use cementum annuli for age determination of live animals may wish to use local anesthesia, rather than chemical restraint, prior to tooth extraction.



Dental forceps were used to extract the incisiform tooth following use of the tooth elevator.

We thank K. Jones, J. Acknowledgments. McKeever, and R. Mohr for logistical support; R. Teagle, J. Carlson, J. Davis, T. Glenner, A. Hunter, and S. DeJesus for capturing deer; J. Augustin, J. Gnass, and P.McGrath for reporting observations of deer in the field; C. Baker and P. Partridge for retrieving mandibles from dead deer; and numerous other personnel for assistance with handling animals. Funding for this research was provided by the California Department of Fish and Game (CDFG), California Deer Association, Safari Club International, and Mule Deer Foundation. This is a contribution from the CDFG Deer Herd Management Plan Implementation Program, and is Professional Paper 023 from the Eastern Sierra Center for Applied Population Ecology (ESCAPE).

Literature cited

- BALLARD, W. B., J. S. WHITMAN, AND D. J. REED. 1991. Population dynamics of moose in south-central Alaska. Wildlife Monographs 114.
- BERGERUD, A. T., AND H. L. RUSSELL. 1966. Extraction of incisors

of Newfoundland caribou. Journal of Wildlife Management 30:842-843.

- CAUGHLEY, G. 1977. Analysis of vertebrate populations. John Wiley and Sons, New York, New York, USA.
- DEMING, O. V. 1952. Tooth replacement of the Nelson bighorn sheep. California Fish and Game 38: 523-529.
- GASAWAY, W. C., R. D. BOERTJE, D. V. GRANGAARD, D. G. KELLEYHOUSE, R. O. STEPHENSON, AND D. G. LARSEN. 1992. The role of predation in limiting moose at low densities in Alaska and Yukon and implications for conservation. Wildlife Monographs 120.
- KEECH, M. A., R. T. BOWYER, J. M. VER HOEF, R. D. BOERTJE, B. W. DALE, AND T. R. STEPHENSON. 2000. Life history consequences of maternal condition in Alaskan moose. Journal of Wildlife Management 64:450-462.
- KOCK, M. D., D. A. JESSUP, R. K. CLARK, C. E. FRANTI, AND R. A. WEAVER. 1987. Capture methods in five subspecies of freeranging bighorn sheep: an evaluation of drop-net, drive-net, chemical immobilization and the net-gun. Journal of Wildlife Diseases 23:634-630.
- KRAUSMAN, P. R., J. J. HERVERT, AND L. L. ORDWAY. 1985. Capturing deer and mountain sheep with a net-gun. Wildlife Society Bulletin 13:71-73.
- LAWS, R. M. 1952. A new method of age determination for mammals. Nature 169:972–973.
- NELSON, L. J., AND J. M. PEEK. 1982. Effect of survival and fecundity on rate of increase in elk. Journal of Wildlife Management 46: 535-540.
- NELSON, M. E. 2001. Tooth extractions from live-captured whitetailed deer. Wildlife Society Bulletin 29:245-247.
- SEVERINGHAUS, C. W. 1949. Tooth development and wear as criteria of age in white-tailed deer. Journal of Wildlife Management 13: 195-216.
- STEPHENSON, T. R., V. C. BLEICH, B. M. PIERCE, AND G. P. MULCAHY. 2002. Validation of mule deer body composition using in vivo and post-mortem indices of nutritional condition. Wildlife Society Bulletin 30: in press.
- VAN BALLENBERGHE, V. 1983. Rate of increase in moose populations. Alces 19:98-117.

Address for Pamela K. Swift, Alfred E. Adams, and Ben J. Gonzales: California Department of Fish and Game, Wildlife Investigations Laboratory, 1701 Nimbus Rd., Rancho Cordova, CA 95670, USA; e-mail for Swift: pswift@dfg.ca.gov. Address for Vernon C. Bleich, Thomas R. Stephenson, and Becky M. Pierce: California Department of Fish and Game, Sierra Nevada Bighorn Sheep Project, 407 W. Line St., Bishop, CA 93514, USA; e-mail for Bleich: vbleich@dfg.ca.gov. Address for Jason P. Marshal: School of Renewable Natural Resources, University of Arizona, Tucson, AZ 85721, USA.

Pamela K. Swift (photo) received her D.V.M. from the University of Bologna (Italy), and was in private practice for 9 years before joining the staff of the CDFG's Wildlife Investigations Lab in 1993. Dr. Swift specializes in diseases of mule deer, black bears, mountain lions, and waterfowl. **Vernon C. Bleich** received B.S. and M.A. degrees from California State University, Long Beach, and a Ph.D. from the University of Alaska, Fairbanks (UAF). Vern is a senior wildlife biologist with CDFG and currently directs the Sierra Nevada Bighorn Sheep Project, an effort to restore mountain sheep to historical ranges in the eastern Sierra Nevada, and continues to oversee the Round



Valley Project, a long-term investigation of mule deer ecology in the eastern Sierra Nevada. Thomas R. Stephenson received degrees in wildlife biology from Colorado State University (B.S.), Virginia Tech (M.S.), and the University of Idaho (Ph.D.). Tom was Director of the Alaska Department of Fish and Game's Kenai Moose Research Center before joining CDFG, where he is a population biologist working on the Sierra Nevada Bighorn Sheep Project. Alfred E. (Bud) Adams received his D.V.M. from the University of California, Davis, and was in private practice before retiring recently to enjoy the fruits of his labors. Bud has served as a contract veterinarian for CDFG, and was instrumental in developing many of the methods and handling techniques currently used by CDFG personnel to capture large herbivores; even in retirement, he continues to work closely with CDFG personnel during animal capture events. Ben I. Gonzales received his D.V.M. and M.P.V.M. from the University of California, Davis. He worked for 9 years as a zoo veterinarian and has been a wildlife veterinarian, first with the Arizona Game and Fish Department and currently with CDFG, for six years. Ben's interests include disease processes and population ecology of elk, mountain sheep, and feral pigs. Becky M. Pierce received her Masters degree in zoology from the University of Nevada, Reno, and her Doctorate in wildlife biology from UAF, where her research focused on the ecological relationships between mule deer and mountain lions in Round Valley. Becky is a predation ecologist working on the Sierra Nevada Bighorn Sheep Project for the California Department of Fish and Game and, as an affiliate assistant professor, advises graduate students at UAF. Jason P. Marshal is a Ph.D. student in the wildlife and fisheries science program at the University of Arizona. He holds a B.Sc. from UAF and an M.Sc. from the University of Alberta. His interests include plant-herbivore and predator-prey dynamics, resource selection, and interspecific competition as they relate to the ecology, management, and conservation of large mammals.

